

---

# hw04

Dan White

October 3, 2014

## 1 Razavi problem 2.11

There are several “opinions” on the value of  $n_i$  at 300K from our textbooks. This is not actually a big deal as long as you say which you are using. When  $n_i$  varies by many orders of magnitude with temperature, being off by around a factor of 2 is not significant in context. Calculating the actual  $n_i$  involves making some assumptions about the quantum mechanical setup of the calculation, some of which are not entirely accurate.

$$|V_0| = \frac{k_B T}{q} \ln \left( \frac{p_p}{p_n} \right)$$

$p_p = n_i \rightarrow$  not zero. The concentration of holes on the “p-side” is, by definition, the intrinsic value because the side is not doped.

$$p_n = \frac{n_i^2}{N_D}. \text{ Substituting these yields: } |V_0| = \frac{k_B T}{a} \ln \left( \frac{N_D}{n_i} \right)$$

```
In [2]: k = 1.381e-23 # J/K
q = 1.602e-19 # C
T = 300.0
ND = 3e16
ni_300_options = (1e10, # Jaeger approximation
                  6.73e9, # from hw01
                  )

for ni in ni_300_options:
    v0 = (k * T / q) * log(ND / ni)
    print '\nFor ni= %.2e:' % (ni)
    print ' V0 = %.2e V or %.3f V' % (v0, v0)
```

```
For ni= 1.00e+10:
    V0 = 3.86e-01 V or 0.386 V
```

```
For ni= 6.73e+09:
    V0 = 3.96e-01 V or 0.396 V
```

## 2 Razavi problem 2.12

### 2.1 part (a)

```
In [5]: ND = 5e17; NA = 4e16
pp = NA; nn = ND
for ni in ni_300_options:
    np = ni**2 / NA
    pn = ni**2 / ND
    print
    print 'For ni = %.2e: (cm^-3)' % ni
    print 'nn = %.2e    pp = %.2e' % (nn, pp)
    print 'pn = %.2e    np = %.2e' % (pn, np)

For ni = 1.00e+10: (cm^-3)
nn = 5.00e+17    pp = 4.00e+16
pn = 2.00e+02    np = 2.50e+03

For ni = 6.73e+09: (cm^-3)
nn = 5.00e+17    pp = 4.00e+16
pn = 9.06e+01    np = 1.13e+03
```

### 2.2 part (b)

```
In [6]: # this time, we need ni as a function of temp,
# use the Jaeger version and assume silicon
def ni(T):
    k = 8.62e-5 # eV/K
    Eg = 1.12 # eV
    B = 1.08e31
    return sqrt(B * T**3 * exp(-Eg / (k * T)))

def v_builtin(T):
    return (k * T / q) * log(NA * ND / ni(T)**2)

for T in (250, 300, 350):
    v0 = v_builtin(T)
    print 'T= %3.f K:  V0= %.3f V' % (T, v0)

T= 250 K:  V0= 0.925 V
T= 300 K:  V0= 0.872 V
T= 350 K:  V0= 0.817 V
```

The trend:  $V_0$  increases as temperature increases.

## 3 Consider:

The built-in potential  $V_0$  is found using hole concentrations in equation (2.68). It is also expressed using doping concentrations in equation (2.69). Do the “Exercise” on the middle of Razavi page 40 and also find  $V_0$  using electron concentrations.

End with:  $V_0 = (k_B T / q) \ln(n_n / n_p)$ . Swapping the  $\ln$  fraction changes the sign of the answer. Go easy on the sign stuff, we are not interested in the sign of  $V_0$  as it only tells us which order the  $n$  and  $p$  sides are in our coordinate system.